ROUND A (ENGLISH)

1 [Expression & Organizatio n _	Does the brief organized?	use adec	quate and pre	ecise expr	essions? Is it	easy to re	ead and comp	rehend? Is	it well
of the Briefs]	1 (Poor) —	- 1.5	– 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	— 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	- 5 (Outst.)
2 [Persuasiveness of the Briefs]	On each issue, logical and per	is the br							
	1 (Poor) —	- 1.5	– 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	—4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	- 5 (Outst.)
3 [Opening Statement]	Was the openir finish it within						of the team's	argument	s? Did she/he
	1 (Poor) —	- 1.5	– 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	—4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5 (Outst.)
4 [Issue 1: Logical Presentation] 5 [Issue 1: Persuasiveness]	As to Issue 1, an appropriate		essary facts	and the l	egal basis for	he claim a	and/or defens	e present	ed clearly and a
	1 (Poor) —	- 1.5	– 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	—4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	- 5 (Outst.)
	Were the factu	al basis a	and legal rea	soning of	the team pers	uasive on	Issue 1?		
6 [Issue 2: Logical Presentation]	1 (Poor) —	1.5 —	– 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	—4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	- 5 (Outst.)
	As to Issue 2, an appropriate		essary facts	and the l	egal basis for	he claim a	and/or defens	e present	ed clearly and a
	1 (Poor) —	- 1.5	– 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	—4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5 (Outst.)
7 [Issue 2: Persuasiveness]	Were the factu	al basis a	and legal rea	soning of	he team pers	uasive on	Issue 2?		
	1 (Poor) —	- 1.5	– 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	—4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5 (Outst.)
8 [Facts]	Did the team u appropriate inf 1 (Poor)	ormation	on the factu	al backgro	ound?	-	-	•	
9 [Responsiveness	Did the team r	espond a	ppropriately	and in a ti	mely fashion t	oward the	other side's a	arguments	?
to the Other Side]	1 (Poor) —	- 1.5	– 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	—4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	- 5 (Outst.)
10 [Initiative]	Did the team take the initiative in the proceeding?								
To [Initiative]	1 (Poor) —	- 1.5	– 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	—4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	- 5 (Outst.)
11 [Responsiveness to the Arbitrators]	Did the team r	•	ppropriately,	respectfu	lly, and in a ti	nely fashi	on toward the	questions	and instruction
	1 (Poor) —	- 1.5	– 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	—4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	- 5 (Outst.)
12 [Closing Statement]	Was the closing statement effective in conveying the overall picture of the team's arguments, reflecting the overall proceding? Did she/he finish it within the time limit? Did she/he use the time effectively?								
	1 (Poor) —	- 1.5	– 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	—4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5 (Outst.)
13 [Presentation and Speech]	Did the team nexcited, emotion			ear and co	onfident mann	er? Did the	e team membe	ers becom	e excessively
14 [Lawyerly Manner]	1 (Poor) —	- 1.5	– 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	—4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	- 5 (Outst.)
	Were the team	's attitud	e and perfor	mance ap	propriate as at	torneys ir	arbitration?		
_ , , ,	1 (Poor) —	1.5	– 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3 (Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	— 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5 (Outst.)
15 [Teamwork]	Did each member of the team perform his/her role appropriately? Did any member perform too dominantly, or make no contribution?								
	1 (Poor) —	- 1.5	– 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	— 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	- 5 (Outst.)